IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 14TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 23RD BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 745 of 2007(S) in W.P.(C).No.2473/2007

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.2473/2007
.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS IN W.P.(C):
----

1. **UNION OF INDIA,**
   REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS, NEW DELHI-110001.

2. **CHIEF POSTMASTER GENERAL,**
   KERALA CIRCLE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF RMS,**
   RMS TV DIVISION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. **SUB RECORD OFFICER,**
   RMS TV DIVISION, KAYAMKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.PARAMESWARAN NAIR,ASST.SOLICITOR

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS:
----

1. **K. SREENIVAS KANNAN, S/O.KUTTAPPAN NAIR,**
   OFFICIATING GDSMM, RAILWAY MAIL SERVICE,
   KAYAMKULAM RMS TV DIVISION, RESIDING AT
   KALATHIL HOUSE, PERINGALA P.O - KAYAMKULAM,
   ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

2. **R. RAJESH, S/O.RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI,**
   AGED 29 YEARS, OFFICIATING GDS MAIL MAN,
   KAYAMKULAM RMS TV DIVISION, RESIDING AT
   RAJESH BHAVAN, MUTHUKULAM NORTH,
   CHOOLATHERUVU P.O,
   ALLEPPEY.

**3. G. UNNIKRISHNAN, S/O.GANGADHARAN NAIR,
AGED 30 YEARS, OFFICIATING GDS MM,
RAILWAT MAIL SERVICE, KAYAMKULAM
RMS TV DIVISION, RESIDING AT PATHIYAMUGAM,
CHOOLATHERUVU P.O., MUTHUKULAM, ALAPPUZHA.**

**R1 TO R3 BY ADV. SRI.KRB.KAIMAL (SR.)
SRI.B.HARISHKUMAR**

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 14/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

J.B.KOSHY & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

R.P.NO.745 OF 2007 ()

IN

W.P.(C).NO.2473 OF 2007

Dated this the 14th day of September, 2007

O R D E R

KOSHY,J.

This review petition is filed with a delay of 88 days. By the judgment under review, matter was only remanded and we have directed the Tribunal to find out whether petitioners had worked out for 240 days in the year 2002. It is submitted by the counsel for the respondents that on the basis of the remand, fresh orders were passed by the Tribunal on 3.8.2007. Whatever may be that, no grounds are made out for reviewing the judgment and no grounds are made out for condoning the delay in filing the review petition and hence both appeal and delay condonation petition are dismissed.

J.B.KOSHY, JUDGE

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE

prp

J.B.KOSHY & K.HEMA, JJ.

M.F.A.NO. OF 2006 ()

J U D G M E N T

11th September, 2007